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Abstract:

Background: This paper is an improvement of a previous work on the problem recovering a function or probability density function from a finite number of its geometric moments, [1]. The previous worked solved the problem with the help of the B-Spline theory which is a great approach as long as the resulting linear system is not very large. In this work, two solution algorithms based on the approximate representation of the target probability distribution function via an orthogonal expansion are provided. One primary application of this theory is the reconstruction of the Particle Size Distribution (PSD), occurring in chemical engineering applications. Another application of this theory is the reconstruction of the Radon transform of an image at an unknown angle using the moments of the transform at known angles which leads to the reconstruction of the image form limited data.

Objective: The aim is to recover a probability density function from a finite number of its geometric moments.

Methods: The tool is the orthogonal expansion approach. The Shifted-Legendre Polynomials and the Chebyshev Polynomials as bases for the orthogonal expansion are used in this study.

Results: A high degree of accuracy has been obtained in recovering a function without facing a possible ill-conditioned linear system, which is the case with many typical approaches of solving the problem. In fact, for a normalized template function \( f \) on the interval \([0, 1]\), and a reconstructed function \( \hat{f} \); the reconstruction accuracy is measured in two domains. One is the moment domain, in which the error (difference between the moments of \( f \) and the moments of \( \hat{f} \)) is zero. The other measure is the standard difference in the norm -space \( ||f - \hat{f}|| \) which can be \( \approx 10^{-6} \) or less.

Conclusion: This paper discusses the problem of recovering a function from a finite number of its geometric moments for the PSD application. Linear transformations were used, as needed, so that the function is supported on the unit interval \([0, 1]\), or on \([0, \alpha]\) for some choice of \( \alpha \). This transformation forces the sequence of moments to vanish. Then, an orthogonal expansion of the Scaled Shifted Legendre Polynomials, as well as the Chebyshev Polynomials, are developed. The result shows good accuracy in recovering different types of synthetic functions. It is believed that up to fifteen moments, this approach is safe and reliable.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Consider an unknown nonnegative function \( f \) with compact support on the interval \((a,b)\). The geometric moments of the function \( f \) are defined by:

\[
M_k f := \int_{\text{supp}(f)} x^k f(x) \, dx, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad (1)
\]

In fact, the problem of determining \( f \) from a given finite sequence \( M_k f \) takes different forms due to the related physical and mathematical assumptions. Specifically, if \( M_k f \) is known for all orders, then \( f \) can be recovered completely, but if only a finite number of these moments are given, then we have the
well-known inverse ill-conditioned problem. Furthermore, it is known that the support of the objective function \( f \) categorizes the problem into different scenarios [1 - 4]. The Hausdorff moment problem, where the support of \( f \) is a closed interval \((a, b)\), the Stieltjes moment problem, where the support of \( f \) 0, +\( \infty \)), and the Hamburger moment problem, where \( f \) is supported on \((-\infty, +\infty)\).

Reconstructing a function from a finite number of its moments is an essential tool for many problems in science and technology. Among many applications that involve the moment problems, three major fields are described. Namely, chemical engineering applications, tomography, and image processing. The most known existing methods of solving the moment problem are reviewed in [1]. A general review of these applications is now given.

In the field of chemical engineering, the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) is a crucial process that results in several industrial operations in chemical engineering, especially in dynamic multiphase flows. The size distribution of particles can be essential for several applications. For example, this property may have an impact on the efficiency of processes like filtration, as a small product size may result in a significant decrease in efficiency. PSD generally represents a pivotal result to assess the excellent quality of processes, and it preserves the orthogonality of the polynomials, say, \( Q_{\alpha,n} \).
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\[ c_n = \frac{2n+1}{\pi} \int_a^b f(x) \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n+k}{k} x^{n+k} \right) dx, \]
\[ = \frac{2n+1}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n+k}{k} \left[ \frac{1}{n+k} \int_a^b x^{n+k} f(x) dx \right] \] \tag{8}

But \( f^n x^k (dx) = M_\eta f; \) so (8) is written as:
\[ c_n = (2n+1) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n+k}{k} \right] M_\eta f \] \tag{9}

Using (9), equation (6) is written as:
\[ f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N (2n+1) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n+k}{k} \frac{1}{2n+1} \right] M_\eta f \] \tag{10}

If we consider the N-partial sum of (10), we obtain:
\[ f(x) \equiv \text{Legr}_N (x) := \sum_{n=0}^N (2n+1) \left[ \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n+k}{k} \frac{1}{2n+1} \right] M_\eta f \] \tag{11}

Thus, the approximating function Legr(x) is calculated from the given moments. Numerical discussion on (11) is presented in the next section.

2.2. Using Chebyshev Polynomials

The Shifted Chebyshev Polynomial of the second kind \([25], \) say \( V_n(x) \), is considered and arguments like what is done above are developed. These polynomials are orthogonal on the interval \([0,1] \) concerning the inner product
\[ (V_n(x), V_m(x)) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{x-x^2} V_n(x) V_m(x) \ dx = \frac{\pi}{n} \delta_{nm} \] \tag{12}

with the weight function \( x-x^2 \). Furthermore, the following is an analytical formula for computing these polynomials,
\[ V_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j 2^{2n-2j} \frac{\Gamma(2n-j+2)\Gamma(n-j+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2n-2j+2)} x^n \] \tag{13}

If \( n > 0 \) and \( V_0(x) = 1 \)

We can express our objective function in the following manner:
\[ f(x) = \sqrt{x-x^2} \sum_{n=0}^N c_n V_n(x) \] \tag{14}

Using (12), we solve for the coefficients,
\[ c_n = \frac{8}{\pi} \int_0^1 f(x) V_n(x) dx, \ n > 0, \] \tag{15}
\[ c_0 = \frac{8}{\pi} \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \frac{8}{\pi} M_\eta f \] \tag{16}

Using (13), we write (15) as
\[ c_n = \frac{8}{\pi} \int_0^1 f(x) \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j 2^{2n-2j} \frac{\Gamma(2n-j+2)\Gamma(n-j+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2n-2j+2)} x^n dx, \ n > 0 \]
\[ = \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j 2^{2n-2j} \frac{\Gamma(2n-j+2)\Gamma(n-j+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2n-2j+2)} \int_0^1 x^n f(x) dx, \] \tag{17}

Thus, (14) is written as
\[ f(x) = \sqrt{x-x^2} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j 2^{2n-2j} \frac{\Gamma(2n-j+2)\Gamma(n-j+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2n-2j+2)} M_\eta f \] \tag{18}

Finally, we consider the partial sum of (18) to obtain:
\[ f(x) \equiv \text{Cheb}_N (x) := \sqrt{x-x^2} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j 2^{2n-2j} \frac{\Gamma(2n-j+2)\Gamma(n-j+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)\Gamma(2n-2j+2)} M_\eta f \] \tag{19}

Examples and discussion of these results are given in the next section.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Now, the following remarks concerning our method are addressed. First, in (3.1), our theory using synthetic functions is tested and validated using MATLAB. In doing so, moments of order up to fifteen or less are employed, and a reliable approximation using either one of the two families of polynomials of this study is shown. Second, in (3.2), the behavior of a series of polynomials with a large number of terms and other properties is considered. This work is not a classical interpolation since interpolation requires a sample of the objective function. In the classical theory of interpolation, the Chebyshev series is believed to be a better approximation if the interpolation nodes are chosen to be the Chebyshev nodes. In the context of this work, the two series (11) and (19) are not comparable in terms of accuracy, given that we want to rely on a few terms of these series. However, they exhibit different behaviors in regard to errors. Overall, thanks to the restriction of using only a few moments, we will not need many terms. It is believed that up to fifteen moments, this approach is safe and reliable.

3.1. Demonstration

In testing our formulas (11) and (19), the support of the testing functions will be the interval \([0,1] \). Observe that in (11); \( f_0 \) or \( f_1 \) need not to be zero, while in (19), it is assumed that \( f(0) = f(1) = 0 \). Measures of the closeness of the reconstructed function to the original function can be:
\[ e_{L_k} := || \text{Legr}_k (x) - f || \] \tag{20}
\[ e_{C_k} := || \text{Cheb}_k (x) - f || \] \tag{21}

As a first example, we consider the function:
\[ f(x) = \sin(2\pi x) + 1, \] \tag{22}

normalized on \([0,1] \), shown in Fig. (1a) (the green graph). The Legr(x), from (11), with moments of orders \( N=2,4,6,8,10 \) are computed. We display these graphs together with the graph of \( f \) in Fig. (1a-e). The corresponding error values \( e_{L_k} \) are calculated in Table 1, with a plot of \( e_{L_k} \) in Fig. (1f).

Table 1. Calculations of figure 1: (a-e) The function \( f(x)=\sin(2\pi x)+1 \) on \([0,1] \) recovered using (11) with different moments: \( N=2,4,6,8,10 \), along with the corresponding errors (20), (f) plot of \( e_{L_k} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 1</th>
<th>Moment N</th>
<th>elk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.9584</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 (cont.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 1</th>
<th>Moment N</th>
<th>elk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.0662</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (1). (a-e) The function \( f(x) = \sin(2\pi x) + 1 \) on \((0,1)\) recovered using (11) with different moments: \( N=2,4,6,8,10 \), along with the corresponding errors (20). (f) plot of \( e_l \).

In the second example, consider the function:

\[
f(x) = \exp[-80(x - 0.3)^2] + \frac{1}{2}\exp[-80(x - 0.6)^2]
\]

(normalized on \( 0,1 \)). The \( \text{Legr}(x) \), from (11), with moments \( N=6,8,10,12,15 \) are computed. We display these graphs together with the graph of \( f \) (the green graph); (Fig. 2a-e). The corresponding error values \( e_l \) are calculated in Table 2 with a plot of \( e_l \) in Fig. (2f).

Fig. (2). (a-e) The function \( f(x) = \exp[-80(x-0.3)^2] + 1/2 \exp[-80(x-0.6)^2] \) on \((0,1)\) recovered using (19) with different moments: \( N=6,8,10,12,15 \) along with the corresponding errors (21). (f) plot of \( e_l \).
Table 2. Calculation of figure 2 (a-e). The function $f(x)=\exp[-80(x-0.3)^2] + \frac{1}{2}\exp[-80(x-0.6)^2]$ on $[0,1]$ recovered using (19) with different moments: $N=6, 8, 10, 12, 15$ along with the corresponding errors (21). (f) plot of $e_k$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 2</th>
<th>Moment</th>
<th>elk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.1054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.1682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.0037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assumption that $f(0)=f(1)=0$ is natural in some applications. For example, in image processing and tomography, we can assume that the image is supported in the interior of the unit circle. Similarly, we consider the value of PSD out of the support $(0, 1)$ to be small enough and can be set as zero. For the rest of our experiments and discussion, we will be under this assumption.

recovered using (19) with different moments: $N=6, 8, 10, 12, 15$ along with the corresponding errors (21). (f) plot of $e_k$.

Working with both families of polynomials, we test $\text{Legr}(x)$ and $\text{Cheb}(x)$ for the function:

\[f(x)=\sin(\pi x)e^{10x}\text{ normalized on } (0,1)\]

Results are shown in Table 3 and Fig. (3). Both are approximated very well using either (11) or (19) within the first twelve moments.

![Graphs of Table 2](image1.png)

Fig. (3). (a-e) The function $f(x)=\sin(\pi x)e^{10x}$ normalized on $[0,1]$ recovered using (11), red graphs, and (19), blue graphs, with different moments: $N=3,5,7,10,12$ along with the corresponding errors (20) and (21). (f) plots of $[e_k]_k$ and $[ec_k]_k$.

Table 3. Calculation of figure 3 ((a-e) The function $f(x)=\sin(\pi x)e^{10x}$ normalized on $(0,1)$ recovered using (11), red graphs, and (19), blue graphs, with different moments: $N=3,5,7,10,12$ along with the corresponding errors (20) and (21). (f) plots of $e_k$ and $ec_k$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 4</th>
<th>Moment</th>
<th>elk</th>
<th>eck</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0389</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0220</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>0.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.0085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2. The Case of Large $N$

Although our approach never needs a large $N$, the completeness of this discussion needs to address several concerns regarding the approximation by polynomials. The Weierstrass approximation theorem [25] states that for every continuous function $f(x)$ defined on an interval $(a,b)$, there exists a set of Polynomials $p_i(x)$, $i \leq N$ that approximates $f(x)$ with uniform convergence over $(a,b)$ as $N \to \infty$. However, this theorem does not provide a general method of finding such a set of polynomials. A set of polynomials may even diverge as $N$ increases. This typically occurs in an oscillating pattern that magnifies near the end of the interpolation points. This is known as Runge's phenomenon [26]. In fact, oscillation at the edges of an interval occurs when polynomials of a high degree over a set of equispaced interpolation points are used. The phenomenon is similar to the Gibbs phenomenon in Fourier series approximations [27]. Runge's phenomenon comes from: The magnitude of the $n$-th order derivative of this function grows quickly for large degrees, and the equidistance between points leads to a larger Lebesgue constant for large $N$.

In the classical interpolation practice, this issue using different approaches is mitigated, such as change of interpolation points, using the S-Runge algorithm without resampling, use of constrained minimization, use of piecewise polynomials, Least-squares fitting, and others. Perhaps, the most common of these is the standard Chebyshev points. Although our work here is not a classical interpolation since interpolations require the knowledge of a sample of $f(x)$ on $(a,b)$. Both families of polynomials used here are no exception, and they, too, suffer the same behavior for large $N$. However, the Legendre expansion exhibits different rates of error at different values in $(a,b)$ [28, 29], while in the Chebyshev case the error is more uniformly distributed over the interval $(a,b)$.

For visual display, we repeat the test of the function $x=\sin\pi x$ normalized on $(0,1)$, with Legr(x) and Chebx using $N=25,30$, Results are shown in Fig. (4).

CONCLUSION

This paper discusses the problem of recovering a function from a finite number of its geometric moments for the PSD application. Linear transformations were used, as needed so that the function is supported on the unit interval $[0, 1]$, or on $[0, \alpha]$ for some choice of $\alpha$. This transformation forces the sequence of moments to vanish. Then, an orthogonal expansion of the scaled shifted Legendre polynomials, as well as the Chebyshev polynomials, developed. The result shows good accuracy in recovering different types of synthetic functions. It is believed that up to fifteen moments, this approach is safe and reliable.
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